Case 1:20-cv-07349-ALC-SDA Document 76 Filed 12/09/21 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Joseph Pagan, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

C.I. Lobster Corp. et al.,

Defendants.

CALLY FILED
12/9/2021

1:20-cv-07349 (ALC) (SDA)

<u>ORDER</u>

STEWART D. AARON, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE:

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Letter Motion for sanctions against Defendants for failure to provide Court-ordered discovery. (Letter Motion, ECF No. 72.) In response to Plaintiff's motion, at the Court's direction, Defendants filed a sworn Declaration to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37. (*See* Order, ECF No. 73; Kataev Decl., ECF No. 74.)

Pursuant to Rule 37(b), "[i]f a party or a party's officer, director, or managing agent . . . fails to obey an order to provide or permit discovery, . . . the court where the action is pending may issue further just orders [of varying degrees of severity]." Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(A). "Instead of or in addition to the orders [referred to] above, the court must order the disobedient party, the attorney advising that party, or both to pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, caused by the failure, unless the failure was substantially justified or other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust." Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(b)(2)(C).

Having reviewed Defendants' response, the Court declines to impose sanctions at this time. The Court agrees with Defendants that Plaintiff's counsel should have met and conferred

Case 1:20-cv-07349-ALC-SDA Document 76 Filed 12/09/21 Page 2 of 2

with Defendants' counsel prior to filing its November 17, 2021 Letter Motion for discovery.

However, Defendants had the opportunity to raise that issue in response and failed to do so.

Thereafter, despite a second Order to produce documents, Defendants still failed to respond until

faced with the instant motion for sanctions. Defendants provide various reasons for their failure

to do so, none of which excuse their noncompliance. At a minimum, Defendants should have

sought an extension of time. Nonetheless, the Court, in its discretion, will not impose sanctions

at this time. Defendants' counsel is admonished that any further failure to comply with Court

Orders will result in the imposition of sanctions. Plaintiff's counsel is admonished that he must

meet and confer in good faith prior to raising any discovery disputes with the Court. The Court

expects the parties to abide by its Individual Practices and conduct discovery in good faith.

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's Letter Motion is DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

DATED:

New York, New York December 9, 2021

STEWART D. AARON

United States Magistrate Judge

Stevet d. aum

2